

### Risks of Bariatric Surgery

With rates of obesity reaching unprecedented levels, the numbers of patients undergoing bariatric surgery have increased dramatically.<sup>1</sup> According to the American Society for Bariatric Surgery, approximately 170,000 of these procedures were performed in 2005 up from fewer than 20,000 in 1998.

While very effective in helping patients to lose weight, bariatric surgery has substantial risks. Most studies report peri-operative mortality rates of well below 1%. However, serious complications are not uncommon with most studies reporting in-hospital morbidity rates 10-20% for bariatric surgery patients. In addition, more than a quarter of bariatric surgery patients are readmitted for treatment of a complication within three years of surgery.<sup>2</sup>


### Variation in the Outcomes of Bariatric Surgery

The outcomes of bariatric surgery vary widely across hospitals and surgeons. For this reason, there is growing interest in public reporting of the outcomes for individual providers of bariatric surgery. Unfortunately, the clinical data required to report on outcomes directly are not currently available. Therefore, information that is available and that we know to be correlated with outcomes can be used to help patients choose where to undergo bariatric surgery.

Similar to many high-risk surgeries, procedure volume, or the number of surgeries that a particular hospital performs, has been shown in most studies to be an important correlate of the outcomes of bariatric surgery.<sup>2-7</sup>

For example, in one study the risk of serious complications, including life threatening cardiac, respiratory, or medical events was 2.5 times greater in hospitals performing fewer than 50 bariatric procedures per year compared to hospitals that performed more than 200 procedures per year.<sup>3</sup>

**Figure.** Risk of Serious Complications With Bariatric Surgery by Hospital Procedure Volume in California from 1996-2000.<sup>3</sup>



### Potential Benefits of Hospital and Surgeon Volume Standards

If all of the 170,000 bariatric surgery patients were to receive surgery in hospitals that perform at least 125 bariatric procedures annually, more than 3,000 serious complications would be avoided each year. In addition to the benefits measured in terms of reduced patient suffering, reducing the numbers of bariatric complications would result in substantial financial savings. By one estimate, healthcare payments exceed \$65,000 for patients readmitted for complications in the 6 months following bariatric surgery compared to about \$25,000 for those who do not have complications.<sup>8</sup>

### The Leapfrog Volume Standards for Bariatric Surgery

Under the advisement of national experts in bariatric surgery and quality improvement, The Leapfrog Group has adopted the following volume standards for bariatric surgery.

Rating	Standard
Fully meets standards	Annual hospital volume $\geq 125$ and participates in ACS NSQIP, ACS database, or SRC's B.O.L.D.
Some progress towards standards	Annual hospital volume $\geq 125$ – or – participates in ACS NSQIP, ACS database, or SRC's B.O.L.D.

# Bariatric Surgery

---

<b>Rating</b>	<b>Standard</b>
Willing to Report	Reports to Leapfrog
Exempt	New (<2 years) bariatric programs

## Challenges

Most hospitals do not meet these volume standards for bariatric surgery.<sup>9</sup> While, high volume hospitals should be able to absorb the relatively minor increase in case loads that minimum volume standards would bring about, it is unknown what kind of geographic barriers there would be to regionalizing bariatric surgery. We exempt new bariatric programs from the standards in order to allow time for these programs' volumes to stabilize as they become established.

## References

- <sup>1</sup>Santry HD, Gillen, Lauderdale D. Trends in bariatric surgical procedures. *JAMA*. 2005;294(15):1909-1917.
- <sup>2</sup>Zingmond D, McGory M. Ko C. Hospitalization before and after gastric bypass surgery. *JAMA*. 2005; 294(15): p. 1918-1924.
- <sup>3</sup>Liu J, et al. Characterizing the performance and outcomes of obesity surgery in California. *The American Surgeon*, 2003; 69(10):823-828.
- <sup>4</sup>Courcoulas A. et al. The relationship of surgeon and hospital volume to outcome after gastric bypass surgery in Pennsylvania: A 3-year summary. *Surgery*. 2003; 134(4):613-623.
- <sup>5</sup>Nguyen N., et al., The relationship between hospital volume and outcome in bariatric surgery at academic medical centers. *Annals of Surgery*. 2004;240(4):586-594.
- <sup>6</sup>Weller W, Hannan E. Relationship between provider volume and postoperative complications for bariatric procedures in New York State. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons*. 2006; 202(5):753-761.
- <sup>7</sup>Flum D, Dellinger E. Impact of gastric bypass operation on survival: A population-based analysis. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons*. 2004; 199(4):543-551.
- <sup>8</sup>Encinosa W., et al., Healthcare utilization and outcomes after bariatric surgery. *Medical Care*. 2006; 44(8):706-712.
- <sup>9</sup>Birkmeyer, N., et al., Characteristics of hospitals performing bariatric surgery. *JAMA*. 2006;295(3)282-284.

## Contacts:

**Nancy Birkmeyer PhD**, Associate Professor, Department of Surgery, at the University of Michigan, Medical School. 2101 Taubman Center, 1500 E. Medical Center Dr., Ann Arbor, MI 48109-0346  
[nbirkmey@med.umich.edu](mailto:nbirkmey@med.umich.edu)

**John D. Birkmeyer MD**, GD Zuidema Professor of Surgery University of Michigan, 2920 Taubman Center, 1500 E Medical Center Drive, Ann Arbor, MI 48109. 734-615-1600; 734-936-5830 (fax),  
[jbirkmey@umich.edu](mailto:jbirkmey@umich.edu)