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Webinar Reminders

Accessing the Audio

• If you are using computer audio, please select that option in the audio options pop up.

• If you are joining by phone, please dial in using the Toll Free 800 number provided. Then enter the Meeting ID when prompted, then your Participant ID.
  - The Meeting ID can be found in the confirmation email or in the Zoom meeting by clicking the audio button in the bottom left-hand corner.
  - The Participant ID can be found in the audio options in the bottom left-hand corner.
  - If you forgot to enter the Participant ID when dialing in, please dial # then your Participant ID again followed by #.

Use of the Zoom Chat Function

• The Town Hall Call includes a live Q&A during the presentation; therefore, we do not monitor the chat for questions. Please reserve the Zoom Chat Function for reporting technical issues only.

Accessing the Slides & Recording

• Following each session, a copy of the slides and recording will be posted and available for download on the Leapfrog website here: https://www.leapfrooggroup.org/survey-materials/town-hall-calls
Q & A

Participants will be able to ask questions during the presentation. Please select the Q&A icon at the bottom of your screen:

- Once the icon has been selected a Q&A box will appear for you to type your questions.
- All participants will be able to view the questions and answers during the duration of the webinar.
  - You will be receiving responses in real time from a member of our team.
  - We will include a transcript of the Q&A on the Leapfrog website here: [https://www.leapfroggroup.org/survey-materials/town-hall-calls](https://www.leapfroggroup.org/survey-materials/town-hall-calls)
  - Some questions may be answered live – please pay close attention.

Following the presentation we will have a live Q&A session. Please use the Raise Hand icon at the bottom of your screen:

- Once the icon has been selected you will be placed in the queue. When it is your turn to ask your question, you will receive a prompt from the host asking you to unmute yourself.
Introductions

Hardeep Singh, MD, MPH
Professor
Baylor College of Medicine

Mark Graber, MD, FACP
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Jean-Luc Tilly, MPA, PMP
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A national initiative to publicly report and recognize hospitals for preventing patient harm due to diagnostic errors.

Progress:

- Published Recommended Practices Report describing 29 options for hospitals looking to reduce diagnostic errors
  - *Safer Dx Checklist* featured implementation example
  - *Measure Dx* cited as a key resource
- Measured implementation progress in pilot survey of 95 hospitals across the country

This fall:

- Introducing a new measurement framework and process/structural measures for inclusion in the 2024 Leapfrog Hospital Survey – **not scored or publicly reported**
Learning Objectives

1. How do hospitals learn from diagnostic errors? How do they identify examples of past errors to learn from?

2. What is the Safer Dx Checklist, and how does it apply to my organization?

3. How can my organization apply Measure Dx to our efforts to reduce diagnostic errors?
Practical Approaches to Measurement and Reduction of Diagnostic Error

Hardeep Singh, MD, MPH
Center for Innovations in Quality, Effectiveness & Safety (IQuEST)
Michael E. DeBakey VA Medical Center
Baylor College of Medicine
Twitter: @HardeepSinghMD
Defining Preventable Diagnostic Harm

Adapted from Singh, Jt Comm J Qual Pat Saf 2014
Themes from Research Studies

- Overlooking information in medical record
- Missed opportunities to elicit or act upon key clinical findings (history/exam)
- Common diseases missed

Singh et al JAMA IM 2012; Singh et al Arch IM 2009
Diagnostic Excellence

Make correct & timely diagnosis

- Use fewest resources
- Maximize patient experiences
- Manage and communicate uncertainty to patients
- Tolerates watchful waiting when unfocused treatment may be harmful

8-Dimensional Sociotechnical Framework to Help Understand

Safer Dx Framework for Measurement and Reduction of Diagnostic Errors

Sociotechnical Work System*

Diagnostic Process Dimensions
- Patient-provider encounter & initial diagnostic assessment
- Diagnostic test performance & interpretation
- Follow-up and tracking of diagnostic information
- Subspecialty consultation/referral issues

Measurement of diagnostic errors
- Reliable
- Valid
- Retrospective
- Prospective

Changes in policy and practice to reduce preventable harm from missed, delayed, wrong or over diagnosis
- Collective mindfulness
- Organizational learning
- Improved calibration
- Better measurement tools and definitions

Safer Diagnosis

Improved Patient Outcomes

Improved value of health care

Feedback for improvement

* Includes 8 technological and non-technological dimensions

Singh and Sittig, BMJ Qual Saf 2015
Accrediting organizations and Medicare “require that healthcare organizations have programs in place to monitor the diagnostic process and identify, learn from, and reduce diagnostic errors and near misses in a timely fashion.”
New Care Models: “LEDE” Organizations

LEDE = Learning & Exploration of Diagnostic Excellence

- Measurement for improvement and learning
- Generate and translate new research evidence
- Accountable culture of engaging and learning from patients
- Engage clinicians in activities to improve diagnosis

Organizational Virtual Hub to coordinate activities

e-Triggers to Identify Patients with Diagnostic Concerns
Example Trigger:
Transfer to the ICU or initiation of rapid response team (RRT) within 15 days of admission in a low-risk patient

Example Trigger:
A primary care index visit followed by unplanned hospitalization within 14 days
Review of Triggered Charts

Guidelines and Recommendations
Hardeep Singh*, Arushi Khanna, Christiane Spitzmueller and Ashley N.D. Meyer

Recommendations for using the Revised Safer Dx Instrument to help measure and improve diagnostic safety

The Safer Dx Instrument:
Items for Determining Presence or Absence of a Diagnostic Missed Opportunity
Rate the following items for the episode of care under review:

1—2—3—4—5—6—7

1 = Strongly Disagree 7 = Strongly Agree

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The documented history was suggestive of an alternate diagnosis, which was not considered in the diagnostic process.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The documented physical exam was suggestive of an alternate diagnosis, which was not considered in the diagnostic process.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Data gathering through history, physical exam, and review of prior documentation (including prior laboratory, radiology, pathology or other results) was incomplete, given the patient's medical history and clinical presentation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Alarm symptoms or &quot;Red Flags&quot; (i.e. features in the clinical presentation that are considered to predict serious disease) were not acted upon.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Engaging Clinicians

Studies have engaged frontline physicians in reporting

Frontline provider engagement, leadership support and physician champion/s

Quality Reports
Increasing Physician Reporting of Diagnostic Learning Opportunities
Trisha L. Marshall, Anna J. Ipsaro, Matthew Le, Courtney Sump, Heather Darrell, Kathleen G. Mapes, Julianne Bick, Sarah A. Ferris, Benjamin S. Bolser, Jeffrey M. Simmons, Philip A. Hagedorn and Patrick W. Brady
Pediatrics January 2021, 147 (1) e20192400

BMJ Journals
Emergency Medicine Journal
Using voluntary reports from physicians to learn from diagnostic errors in emergency medicine
Nnaemeka Okafor, Velma L Payne, Yashwant Chathampally, Sara Miller, Pratik Doshi, Hardeep Singh
Seek feedback on diagnostic decisions

“Byte” sized practice

Consider biases

Make diagnosis a team sport

Foster critical thinking

PRACTICE POINTER

Five strategies for clinicians to advance diagnostic excellence

Hardeep Singh, Denise M Connor, Gurpreet Dhaliwal
Calibrate Dx: A Resource to Improve Diagnostic Decisions

Prepared for:
Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
5600 Fishers Lane
Rockville, MD 20857
www.ahrq.gov

Contract No. HHSP2332015000221/75P0011
9F37006
Task Order 5a
This project was funded under contract HHSP23320150

Prepared by:
Center for Innovations in Quality, Effectiveness, and Safety (IQuEST), Michael E. DeBakey Veterans Affairs Medical Center and Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX
Co-Leads: Andrea Bradford, Ph.D. and Ashley N.D. Meyer, Ph.D.
Ashish Gupta, M.D., M.B.A.
Hardeep Singh, M.D., M.P.H.

Supported by:
Engaging Patients

Use of patient complaints to identify diagnosis-related safety concerns: a mixed-method evaluation

Traber D Giardina, Saritha Korukonda, Umber Shahid, Viralkumar Vaghani, Divvy K Upadhyay, Greg F Burke, Hardeep Singh

Research and Applications

Inviting patients to identify diagnostic concerns through structured evaluation of their online visit notes

Traber D. Giardina, Debra T. Choi, Divvy K. Upadhyay, Saritha Korukonda, Taylor M. Scott, Christiane Spitzmueller, Conrad Schuerch, Dennis Torretti, and Hardeep Singh

Learning From Patients’ Experiences Related To Diagnostic Errors Is Essential For Progress In Patient Safety

Traber Davis Giardina, Helen Haskey, Shailaja Menon, Julia Hallisy, Frederick S. Southwick, Urmimala Sarkar, Kathryn E. Roysa, and Hardeep Singh
Taking Actions to LEDE
The Safer Dx Checklist
10 High-Priority Practices for Diagnostic Excellence
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http://www.ihi.org/resources/Pages/Tools/safer-diagnostic-checklist.aspx
The Safer Dx Checklist: 10 High-Priority Practices for Diagnostic Excellence
(Scenarios are examples of actions to improve the practices)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Implementation Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Health care organization leadership builds a “board-to-bedside” accountability framework that includes structure, capacity, transparency, time, and resources to measure and improve diagnostic safety.

Scenario 1: Senior leadership/C-suite establish a multidisciplinary team (e.g., diagnostic safety committee) charged with identifying and addressing opportunities to reduce errors at the institutional level. The team includes department leaders and clinical champions.

Scenario 2: Senior leadership/C-suite consistently share diagnostic safety data with the governance board. This includes quantitative data to measure and track diagnostic safety as well as narrative patient stories, patterns, and action plans.

Health care organization promotes a just culture and creates a psychologically safe environment that encourages clinicians and staff to share opportunities to improve diagnostic safety without fear of retribution.

Scenario: Ensure non-punitive conditions that encourage clinical and non-clinical staff to report missed opportunities, harms, “good catches,” tips, and lessons related to diagnostic safety. Circulate the loop and share information on corrective actions or steps taken to prevent recurrence in a timely and effective manner.

Health care organization creates feedback loops to increase information flow about diagnostic errors.

The Safer Dx Checklist is an organizational self-assessment tool with 10 recommended practices to achieve diagnostic excellence.

Why Use the Checklist?
Diagnostic errors (missed, delayed, or wrong diagnoses) involve at least 1 in 20 US adults annually and lead to considerable harm to patients of all ages. They also are costly and one of the most common reasons for malpractice claims. Health care organizations need pragmatic guidance on where to focus efforts to improve diagnostic safety.

The Safer Dx Checklist is a synthesis of foundational practices that health care organizations can use to advance diagnostic excellence. The checklist provides a framework for organizations to conduct a self-assessment to understand the current state of diagnostic practices, identify areas to improve, and track progress toward diagnostic excellence over time.

The checklist was developed using a rigorous multimethod approach that included interviews with health care quality and safety leaders, physicians, and patient caregivers.

How to Use the Checklist
1. Identify a senior leader (e.g., chief quality officer, chief patient safety officer, chief medical officer, or other clinician with oversight of quality) in the organization who can serve as the champion for learning and exploration of diagnostic excellence.

2. Establish a multidisciplinary team of individuals from various clinical and non-clinical disciplines, including quality and safety, patient safety, and others.

3. Conduct a comprehensive self-assessment using the Safer Dx Checklist.

4. Develop an action plan to address identified gaps and areas for improvement.

5. Implement and track progress toward diagnostic excellence.

6. Continuously improve and refine diagnostic processes based on feedback and outcomes.
HOW TO USE THE CHECKLIST

- Identify a senior leader
- Establish a multidisciplinary team
- Complete the checklist
- Develop an action plan
- Identify regular checkpoints for follow up
Checklist Responses

For each of the checklist items, select the Implementation Status that best represents the current state of your organization’s practices:

- **Full**
  A well-known and well-documented practice that occurs reliably in the organization.

- **Partial**
  The practice sometimes occurs in the organization. The practice is not well known, or it is implemented inconsistently across the organization.

- **Not Implemented**
  The practice does not occur.
Slido Tips & Tricks

1. Track total “fully implemented” responses
2. You can be anonymous
3. Please report honestly!
4. Use an additional screen (e.g. phone or other monitor)
Health care organization leadership builds a “board-to-bedside” accountability framework that includes structure, capacity, transparency, time, and resources to measure and improve diagnostic safety.
Health care organization promotes a just culture and creates a psychologically safe environment that encourages providers and staff to share opportunities to improve diagnostic safety without fear of retribution.
Health care organization creates feedback loops to increase information flow about patients’ diagnostic and treatment-related outcomes. These loops include clinicians and external organizations and establish mechanisms for capturing, measuring, and providing feedback to the diagnostic team about patients’ subsequent diagnoses and clinical outcomes.
Health care organization includes multidisciplinary perspectives to understand and address contributory factors in analysis of diagnostic safety events, and consider human factors, informatics, IT system design, and cognitive elements.
Health care organization actively seeks patient and family feedback to identify and understand diagnostic safety concerns and addresses concerns by codesigning solutions.
Health care organization encourages patients to review their health records and has mechanisms in place to help patients understand, interpret, and/or act on diagnostic information.
Health care organization prioritizes equity in diagnostic safety efforts by segmenting data to understand root causes and implementing strategies to address and narrow equity gaps.
Health care organization has in place standardized systems and processes to encourage direct, collaborative interactions between treating clinical teams and diagnostic specialties (e.g., laboratory, pathology, radiology) in cases that pose diagnostic challenges.
Health care organization has in place standardized systems and processes to ensure reliable communication of diagnostic information between care providers and with patients and families during handoffs and transitions throughout the diagnostic journey.
Health care organization has in place standardized systems and processes to close the loop on communication and follow up on abnormal test results and referrals.
Count the total number of practices fully implemented at your site
Interpreting Checklist Results

Based on your response, your full Implementation Status is:

- **Beginning**: 0 to 3 “Full” responses
- **Making progress**: 4 to 6 “Full” responses
- **Exemplar**: 7 or more “Full” responses

Review checklist items with “Not Implemented” responses as opportunities for improvement.
Measure DX:
A Resource to Identify, Analyze, and Learn From Diagnostic Safety Events
Overview of Measure Dx

1. Prepare for Measurement
   - Engage stakeholders
   - Build a team
   - Foster psychological safety

2. Conduct a Self-assessment
   Inventory available resources to support this work and select a measurement strategy

3. Implement Measurement Strategies
   Use one or more data sources within the organization to capture potential diagnostic safety events for further review

4. Review & Analyze Cases
   Use a systematic review process to identify learning opportunities and translate findings into useful feedback
Four Strategies to Detect Diagnostic Safety Learning Opportunities

A. USE EXISTING QUALITY & SAFETY DATA
Examine previously identified safety events for diagnostic improvement opportunities.

B. SOLICIT REPORTS FROM CLINICIANS
Ask clinicians to bring attention to diagnostic events within an environment of psychological safety.

C. LEVERAGE PATIENT-REPORTED DATA
Examine patient surveys, incident reports, and complaints to identify missed opportunities.

D. EHR-ENHANCED CHART REVIEW
Use EHR searches or trigger algorithms to identify high-risk diagnoses or care patterns.
Case Review & Data Gathering

1. Identify a case for review
   - Use Strategies A-D in Part III for case detection
   - Ensure that pertinent clinical documentation is available

2. Is there a missed opportunity?
   - Use Revised Safer Dx Instrument to determine presence or absence of missed opportunity (see tips for reviewers, Appendix E)

3. Review further for contributing factors
   - Consider collecting additional case details using Common Formats for Event Reporting
     - Diagnostic Safety
   - Other review and analysis tools include the DEER taxonomy, fishbone diagram, etc. (Table 4)

4. Determine opportunities for immediate improvement or intervention
   - Compile data over time to look for trends
Towards Reducing Preventable Harm from Diagnostic Error

Diagnostic error a complex problem but promising recent progress

Strategies (e.g. Calibrate Dx, BMJ) for clinicians to learn & improve

Safer Dx Checklist & Measure Dx actionable steps to improve safety
Thank You
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A New National Initiative for Hospitals

A national initiative to publicly report and recognize hospitals for preventing patient harm due to diagnostic errors.

Progress:

- Published Recommended Practices Report describing 29 options for hospitals looking to reduce diagnostic errors
  - Safer Dx Checklist featured implementation example
  - Measure Dx cited as a key resource
- Measured implementation progress in pilot survey of 95 hospitals across the country

This fall:

- Introducing a new measurement framework and process/structural measures for inclusion in the 2024 Leapfrog Hospital Survey – not scored or publicly reported
Q & A

Participants will be able to ask questions during the presentation. Please select the Q&A icon at the bottom of your screen:

- Once the icon has been selected a Q&A box will appear for you to type your questions.
- All participants will be able to view the questions and answers during the duration of the webinar.
  - You will be receiving responses in real time from a member of our team.
  - We will include a transcript of the Q&A on the Leapfrog website here: https://www.leapfroggroup.org/survey-materials/town-hall-calls
  - Some questions may be answered live – please pay close attention.

Following the presentation we will have a live Q&A session. Please use the Raise Hand icon at the bottom of your screen:

- Once the icon has been selected you will be placed in the que. When it is your turn to ask your question, you will receive a prompt from the host asking you to unmute yourself.
Webinar Series

Webinar #3: Case Study in Improving the Safety and Quality of Diagnosis in Hospitals

November 28, 3:00-4:00 PM ET

Dr. Divvy Upadhyay, MD, MPH, the Researcher-in-Residence at the Safer Dx Learning Lab and scientist in the Division of Quality, Safety and Patient Experience at Geisinger will present his organization’s approach to driving improved diagnostic safety and quality by sharing lessons learned across the Geisinger’s health system.

Jill Dykstra-Nykanen, RN, MSN, CPHQ, Chief Quality Officer at Orlando Health Arnold Palmer Hospital for Children, will present how her organization has prioritized improving diagnosis across several quality of care interventions.

The session will include an open and frank conversation about how participants can leverage learnings from the webinar series to kickstart improvement at their institutions.
Thank you for joining us today.