Resources and Strategies to Improve the Safety and Quality of Diagnosis in Hospitals

Diagnostic Safety and Quality Webinar Series: Overview and Implications for Hospitals

October 18, 2023

Webinar Reminders

Accessing the Audio

- If you are using computer audio, please select that option in the audio options pop up.
- If you are joining by phone, please dial in using the Toll Free 800 number provided. Then enter the Meeting ID when prompted, then your Participant ID.
  - The Meeting ID can be found in the confirmation email or in the Zoom meeting by clicking the audio button in the bottom left-hand corner.
  - The Participant ID can be found in the audio options in the bottom left-hand corner.
  - If you forgot to enter the Participant ID when dialing in, please dial # then your Participant ID again followed by #.

Use of the Zoom Chat Function

- The Town Hall Call includes a live Q&A during the presentation; therefore, we do not monitor the chat for questions. Please reserve the Zoom Chat Function for reporting technical issues only.

Accessing the Slides & Recording

- Following each session, a copy of the slides and recording will be posted and available for download on the Leapfrog website here: https://www.leapfroggroup.org/survey-materials/town-hall-calls

Q & A

Participants will be able to ask questions during the presentation. Please select the Q&A icon at the bottom of your screen:

- Once the icon has been selected a Q&A box will appear for you to type your questions.
- All participants will be able to view the questions and answers during the duration of the webinar.
  - You will be receiving responses in real time from a member of our team.
  - We will include a transcript of the Q&A on the Leapfrog website here: https://www.leapfroggroup.org/survey-materials/town-hall-calls
  - Some questions may be answered live – please pay close attention.

Following the presentation we will have a live Q&A session. Please use the Raise Hand icon at the bottom of your screen:

- Once the icon has been selected you will be placed in the que. When it is your turn to ask your question, you will receive a prompt from the host asking you to unmute yourself.

Introductions



Hardeep Singh, MD, MPH
Professor
Baylor College of Medicine

Mark Graber, MD, FACP
Founder and President Emeritus
Society to Improve
Diagnosis in Medicine

Jean-Luc Tilly, MPA, PMP
Program Manager
The Leapfrog Group

© The Leapfrog Group 2022

Leapfrog's New National Initiative for Hospitals



A national initiative to publicly report and recognize hospitals for preventing patient harm due to diagnostic errors.

Progress:

- Published Recommended Practices Report describing 29 options for hospitals looking to reduce diagnostic errors
  - ***Safer Dx Checklist*** featured implementation example
  - ***Measure Dx*** cited as a key resource
- Measured implementation progress in pilot survey of 95 hospitals across the country

This fall:

- Introducing a new measurement framework and process/structural measures for inclusion in the 2024 Leapfrog Hospital Survey **– not scored or publicly reported**

Learning Objectives

1. How do hospitals learn from diagnostic errors? How do they identify examples of past errors to learn from?
2. What is the Safer Dx Checklist, and how does it apply to my organization?
3. How can my organization apply Measure Dx to our efforts to reduce diagnostic errors?

© The Leapfrog Group 2022

Practical Approaches to Measurement and Reduction of Diagnostic Error

Hardeep Singh, MD, MPH

CENTER FOR INNOVATIONS IN QUALITY, EFFECTIVENESS & SAFETY (IQUEST)

MICHAEL E. DEBAKEY VA MEDICAL CENTER

BAYLOR COLLEGE OF MEDICINE

TWITTER: @HardeepSinghMD

Defining Preventable Diagnostic Harm



Adapted from Singh, Jt Comm J Qual Pat Saf 2014



Adapted from National Academy of Medicine Report, Improving Diagnosis in Health Care, 2015.

Themes from Research Studies

Common diseases missed

Missed opportunities to elicit or act upon key clinical findings (history/exam)

Overlooking information in medical record

Singh et al JAMA IM 2012; Singh et al Arch IM 2009

Diagnostic Excellence



Meyer AND, Singh H. The Path to Diagnostic Excellence Includes Feedback to Calibrate How Clinicians Think. *JAMA.* 2019;321(8):737–738.

8-Dimensional Sociotechnical Framework to Help Understand

Organizational Policies, Procedures, & Culture

Workflow & Communication

User Interface

Content

Personnel

Hardware & Software

Measurement & Monitoring

External Rules & Regulations

Sittig, Singh, *Qual Saf Health Care. 2010 Oct; 19(Suppl 3): i68–i74.*

Safer Dx Framework for Measurement and Reduction of Diagnostic Errors



* Includes 8 technological and non-technological dimensions

Singh and Sittig, BMJ Qual Saf 2015

Accrediting organizations and Medicare

"require that healthcare organizations have programs in place to monitor the diagnostic process and identify, learn from, and reduce diagnostic errors and near misses in a timely fashion."



New Care Models: “LEDE” Organizations

LEDE = Learning & Exploration of Diagnostic Excellence



Singh H, Upadhyay DK, & Torretti D. Developing health care organizations that pursue learning and exploration of diagnostic excellence: An action plan. *Acad Med*.

BMJ Quality & Safety
The international journal of healthcare improvement

Electronic health record-based triggers to detect potential delays in cancer diagnosis

Daniel R Murphy,[1,2] Archana Laxmisan,[1,2] Brian A Reis,[1,2] Eric J Thomas,[3] Adol Esquivel,[4] Samuel N Forjuoh,[5] Rohan Parikh,[6] Myrna M Khan,[1,2] Hardeep Singh[1,2]

BMJ Quality & Safety
The international journal of healthcare improvement

Application of electronic trigger tools to identify targets for improving diagnostic safety

Daniel R Murphy, Ashley ND Meyer, Dean F Sittig, Derek W Meeks, Eric J Thomas, Hardeep Singh
BMJ Qual Saf 2019;28:151–159. doi:10.1136/bmjqs-2018-008086

CHEST JOURNAL

ORIGINAL RESEARCH: LUNG CANCER|
VOLUME 150, ISSUE 3, SEPTEMBER 01, 2016

Computerized Triggers of Big Data to Detect Delays in Follow-up of Chest Imaging Results

Daniel R. Murphy, MD, MBA, Ashley N.D. Meyer, PhD, Viraj Bhise, MBBS, Li Wei, MS, Louis Wu, PA, Hardeep Singh, MD, MPH
OpenAccess DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2016.05.001

e-Triggers to Identify Patients with Diagnostic Concerns

Example Trigger:

Transfer to the ICU or initiation of rapid response team (RRT) within 15 days of admission in a low-risk patient

An electronic trigger based on care escalation to identify preventable adverse events in hospitalised patients

BMJ Bhise V, et al. BMJ Qual Saf 2018;27:241–246

Example Trigger:

A primary care index visit followed by unplanned hospitalization within 14 days

Electronic health record-based surveillance of diagnostic errors in primary care

BMJ Quality & Safety Singh H, et al. BMJ Qual Saf 2011; **21** 89-92

Review of Triggered Charts

DE GRUYTER

Diagnosis 2019; 6(4): 315–323

Guidelines and Recommendations

Hardeep Singh*, Arushi Khanna, Christiane Spitzmueller and Ashley N.D. Meyer

Recommendations for using the Revised Safer Dx Instrument to help measure and improve diagnostic safety

The Safer Dx Instrument:
Items for Determining Presence or Absence of a Diagnostic Missed Opportunity
Rate the following items for the episode of care under review:

1—2—3—4—5—6—7

1= Strongly Disagree 7 = Strongly Agree

Item	Score
1. The documented history was suggestive of an alternate diagnosis, which was not considered in the diagnostic process.	
2. The documented physical exam was suggestive of an alternate diagnosis, which was not considered in the diagnostic process.*	
3. Data gathering through history, physical exam, and review of prior documentation (including prior laboratory, radiology, pathology or other results) was incomplete, given the patient's medical history and clinical presentation.	
4. Alarm symptoms or "Red Flags" (i.e. features in the clinical presentation that are considered to predict serious disease) were not acted upon.	

Engaging Clinicians

Studies have engaged frontline physicians in reporting

Frontline provider engagement, leadership support and physician champion/s

Quality Reports

PEDIATRICS
OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

Increasing Physician Reporting of Diagnostic Learning Opportunities

Trisha L. Marshall, Anna J. Ipsaro, Matthew Le, Courtney Sump, Heather Darrell, Kathleen G. Mapes, Julianne Bick, Sarah A. Ferris, Benjamin S. Bolser, Jeffrey M. Simmons, Philip A. Hagedorn and Patrick W. Brady

Pediatrics January 2021, 147 (1) e20192400

Volume 33, Issue 4

Emergency Medicine Journal

Using voluntary reports from physicians to learn from diagnostic errors in emergency medicine

Nnaemeka Okafor, Velma L Payne, Yashwant Chathampally, Sara Miller, Pratik Doshi, Hardeep Singh

Seek feedback on diagnostic decisions

Make diagnosis a team sport

“Byte” sized practice

Foster critical thinking

Consider biases

PRACTICE POINTER

Five strategies for clinicians to advance diagnostic excellence

Hardeep Singh,[1] Denise M Connor,[2,3] Gurpreet Dhaliwal[2,3]

https://www.ahrq.gov/patient-safety/settings/multiple/calibrate-dx.html

Calibrate Dx: A Resource to Improve Diagnostic Decisions

Prepared for:
Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
5600 Fishers Lane
Rockville, MD 20857
www.ahrq.gov

Contract No. HHSP233201500022I/75P00119F37006
Task Order 5a
This project was funded under contract HHSP23320150

Prepared by:
Center for Innovations in Quality, Effectiveness, and Safety (IQuESt), Michael E. DeBakey Veterans Affairs Medical Center and Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX
Co-Leads: Andrea Bradford, Ph.D. and Ashley N.D. Meyer, Ph.D.
Ashish Gupta, M.D., M.B.A.
Hardeep Singh, M.D., M.P.H.

Supported by:

Engaging Patients

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Use of patient complaints to identify diagnosis-related safety concerns: a mixed-method evaluation

Traber D Giardina [1,2] Saritha Korukonda,[3] Umber Shahid,[1,2] Viralkumar Vaghani,[1,2] Divvy K Upadhyay,[4] Greg F Burke,[4,5] Hardeep Singh [1,2]

BMJ Qual Saf: first published as 10.1136/t

Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, 29(6), 2022, 1091–1100
https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocac036
Advance Access Publication Date: 29 March 2022
Research and Applications

Research and Applications

Inviting patients to identify diagnostic concerns through structured evaluation of their online visit notes

Traber D. Giardina[1], Debra T. Choi[1], Divvy K. Upadhyay[2], Saritha Korukonda[2], Taylor M. Scott[1], Christiane Spitzmueller[3], Conrad Schuerch[2], Dennis Torretti[2], and Hardeep Singh[1]

VOL. 37, NO. 11: PATIENT SAFETY

Learning From Patients' Experiences Related To Diagnostic Errors Is Essential For Progress In Patient Safety

Traber Davis Giardina[1], Helen Haskell[2], Shailaja Menon[3], Julia Hallisy[4], Frederick S. Southwick[5], Urmimala Sarkar[6], Kathryn E. Royse[7], and Hardeep Singh[8] **See fewer authors**

Taking Actions to LEDE

The Safer Dx Checklist

10 High-Priority Practices for Diagnostic Excellence

PREPARED BY:

Center for Innovation in Quality, Effectiveness, and Safety (IQuESt), Michael E. DeBakey Veterans Affairs Medical Center and Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX

- Hardeep Singh, MD, MPH (Principal Investigator)
- Abigail Marinez, MPH
- Umair Mushtaq, MBBS, MS
- Umber Shahid, PhD, MPH

Geisinger, Danville, PA

- Divvy Kant Upadhyay, MD, MPH

Institute for Healthcare Improvement, Boston, MA

- Joellen Huebner, BA
- Patricia McGaffigan, RN, MS, CPPS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was generously funded by a grant from the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation.

http://www.ihi.org/resources/Pages/Tools/safer-diagnostic-checklist.aspx

The Safer Dx Checklist:
10 High-Priority Practices for Diagnostic Excellence

(Scenarios are examples of actions to improve the practices)

	Practice	Implementation Status (Current state of organization's practices) Full	Partial	Not Implemented
1	**Health care organization leadership builds a "board-to-bedside" accountability framework that includes structure, capacity, transparency, time, and resources to measure and improve diagnostic safety.** Scenario 1: Senior leadership/C-suite establish a multidisciplinary team (e.g., diagnostic safety committee) charged with identifying and addressing opportunities to reduce errors at the institutional level. The team includes department leaders and clinical champions. Scenario 2: Senior leadership/C-suite consistently share diagnostic safety data with the governance board. This includes quantitative data to measure and track diagnostic safety as well as narrative patient stories, patterns, and action plans.	☐	☐	☐
2	**Health care organization promotes a just culture and creates a psychologically safe environment that encourages clinicians and staff to share opportunities to improve diagnostic safety without fear of retribution**. Scenario: Ensure non-punitive conditions that encourage clinical and non-clinical staff to report missed opportunities, harms, "good catches," tips, and lessons related to diagnostic safety. Clo the loop and share information on corrective actions or steps taken to prevent recurrence in a timely and effective manner.	☐	☐	☐
	Health care organization creates feedback loops to increase information flow abou			

The Safer Dx Checklist

10 High-Priority Practices for Diagnostic Excellence

The Safer Dx Checklist is an organizational self-assessment tool with 10 recommended practices to achieve diagnostic excellence.

Why Use the Checklist?

Diagnostic errors (missed, delayed, or wrong diagnoses) involve at least 1 in 20 US adults annually and lead to considerable harm to patients of all ages. They also are costly and one of the most common reasons for malpractice claims. Health care organizations need pragmatic guidance on where to focus efforts to improve diagnostic safety.

The Safer Dx Checklist is a synthesis of foundational practices that health care organizations can use to advance diagnostic excellence. The checklist provides a framework for organizations to conduct a self-assessment to understand the current state of diagnostic practices, identify areas to improve, and track progress toward diagnostic excellence over time.

The checklist was developed using a rigorous multimethod approach that included interviews with health care quality and safety leaders,

How to Use the Checklist

1. **Identify a senior leader** (e.g., chief quality officer, chief patient safety officer, chief medical officer, or other clinician with oversight of quality) in the organization who can serve as the champion for learning and exploration of diagnostic excellence.
2. **Establish a multidisciplinary team** of individuals from various clinical and non-clinical disciplines, including quality and safety, patient

HOW TO USE THE CHECKLIST

- Identify a senior leader
- Establish a multidisciplinary team
- Complete the checklist
- Develop an action plan
- Identify regular checkpoints for follow up

Checklist Responses

For each of the checklist items, select the **Implementation Status** that best represents the current state of your organization's practices:



Full

A well-known and well-documented practice that occurs reliably in the organization.



Partial

The practice sometimes occurs in the organization. The practice is not well known, or it is implemented inconsistently across the organization.



Not Implemented

The practice does not occur.

Slido Tips & Tricks

1. Track total "fully implemented" responses

2. You can be anonymous

3. Please report honestly!

4. Use an additional screen

   (e.g. phone or other monitor)

1

Health care organization leadership builds a "board-to-bedside" accountability framework that includes structure, capacity, transparency, time, and resources to measure and improve diagnostic safety.

2

Health care organization promotes a just culture and creates a psychologically safe environment that encourages providers and staff to share opportunities to improve diagnostic safety without fear of retribution.

3

Health care organization creates feedback loops to increase information flow about patients' diagnostic and treatment-related outcomes. These loops include clinicians and external organizations and establish mechanisms for capturing, measuring, and providing feedback to the diagnostic team about patients' subsequent diagnoses and clinical outcomes.

4

Health care organization includes multidisciplinary perspectives to understand and address contributory factors in analysis of diagnostic safety events, and consider human factors, informatics, IT system design, and cognitive elements.

5

Health care organization actively seeks patient and family feedback to identify and understand diagnostic safety concerns and addresses concerns by codesigning solutions.

Health care organization encourages patients to review their health records and has mechanisms in place to help patients understand, interpret, and/or act on diagnostic information.

7

Health care organization prioritizes equity in diagnostic safety efforts by segmenting data to understand root causes and implementing strategies to address and narrow equity gaps.

Health care organization has in place standardized systems and processes to encourage direct, collaborative interactions between treating clinical teams and diagnostic specialties (e.g., laboratory, pathology, radiology) in cases that pose diagnostic challenges.

9

Health care organization has in place standardized systems and processes to ensure reliable communication of diagnostic information between care providers and with patients and families during handoffs and transitions throughout the diagnostic journey.

Health care organization has in place standardized systems and processes to close the loop on communication and follow up on abnormal test results and referrals.

10

Count the total number of practices fully implemented at your site

Interpreting Checklist Results

Based on your response, your full Implementation Status is:

- **Beginning:** 0 to 3 “Full” responses
- **Making progress:** 4 to 6 “Full” responses
- **Exemplar:** 7 or more “Full” responses

Review checklist items with “Not Implemented” responses as **opportunities** for improvement.

Measure DX:
A Resource to Identify, Analyze, and Learn From Diagnostic Safety Events
AHRQ
Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality
PATIENT SAFETY

Overview of Measure Dx

1

Prepare for Measurement

- Engage stakeholders
- Build a team
- Foster psychological safety

2

Conduct a Self-assessment

Inventory available resources to support this work and select a measurement strategy

3

Implement Measurement Strategies

Use one or more data sources within the organization to capture potential diagnostic safety events for further review

4

Review & Analyze Cases

Use a systematic review process to identify learning opportunities and translate findings into useful feedback

Four Strategies to Detect Diagnostic Safety Learning Opportunities

A



USE EXISTING QUALITY & SAFETY DATA

Examine previously identified safety events for diagnostic improvement opportunities

B



SOLICIT REPORTS FROM CLINCIANS

Ask clinicians to bring attention to diagnostic events within an environment of psychological safety

C



LEVERAGE PATIENT-REPORTED DATA

Examine patient surveys, incident reports, and complaints to identify missed opportunities

D



EHR-ENHANCED CHART REVIEW

Use EHR searches or trigger algorithms to identify high-risk diagnoses or care patterns

Case Review & Data Gathering

Identify a case for review

Use Strategies A-D in Part III for case detection

Ensure that pertinent clinical documentation is available

Is there a missed opportunity?

Use Revised Safer Dx Instrument to determine presence or absence of missed opportunity (see tips for reviewers, Appendix E)

Review further for contributing factors

Consider collecting additional case details using Common Formats for Event Reporting - Diagnostic Safety

Other review and analysis tools include the DEER taxonomy, fishbone diagram, etc. (**Table 4**)

Determine opportunities for immediate improvement or intervention

Compile data over time to look for trends

Towards Reducing Preventable Harm from Diagnostic Error

- Diagnostic error a complex problem but promising recent progress
- Strategies (e.g. Calibrate Dx, BMJ) for clinicians to learn & improve
- Safer Dx Checklist & Measure Dx actionable steps to improve safety

Thank You

- **Funding Agencies that make research possible:**
  - Department of Veterans Affairs
  - Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality
  - Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation
  - CanTest - CRUK
  - ONC for SAFER Guides
- **Our multidisciplinary team at the Center for Innovations in Quality, Effectiveness and Safety (IQuESt):**
  - Email: hardeeps@bcm.edu
  - Web: http://www.houston.hsrd.research.va.gov/bios/singh.asp and www.bcm.edu/saferdx
  - Twitter: @HardeepSinghMD

A New National Initiative for Hospitals

A national initiative to publicly report and recognize hospitals for preventing patient harm due to diagnostic errors.

Progress:

- Published Recommended Practices Report describing 29 options for hospitals looking to reduce diagnostic errors
  - ***Safer Dx Checklist*** featured implementation example
  - ***Measure Dx*** cited as a key resource
- Measured implementation progress in pilot survey of 95 hospitals across the country

This fall:

- Introducing a new measurement framework and process/structural measures for inclusion in the 2024 Leapfrog Hospital Survey **– not scored or publicly reported**

Q & A

Participants will be able to ask questions during the presentation. Please select the Q&A icon at the bottom of your screen:

Participants Q&A Polls Chat

- Once the icon has been selected a Q&A box will appear for you to type your questions.
- All participants will be able to view the questions and answers during the duration of the webinar.
  - You will be receiving responses in real time from a member of our team.
  - We will include a transcript of the Q&A on the Leapfrog website here: https://www.leapfroggroup.org/survey-materials/town-hall-calls
  - Some questions may be answered live – please pay close attention.

Following the presentation we will have a live Q&A session. Please use the Raise Hand icon at the bottom of your screen:

Record Raise Hand Apps

- Once the icon has been selected you will be placed in the que. When it is your turn to ask your question, you will receive a prompt from the host asking you to unmute yourself.

© The Leapfrog Group 2022

The Webinar Series

Webinar #3: Case Study in Improving the Safety and Quality of Diagnosis in Hospitals

November 28, 3:00-4:00 PM ET

Dr. Divvy Upadhyay, MD, MPH, the Researcher-in-Residence at the Safer Dx Learning Lab and scientist in the Division of Quality, Safety and Patient Experience at Geisinger will present his organization's approach to driving improved diagnostic safety and quality by sharing lessons learned across the Geisinger's health system.

Jill Dykstra-Nykanen, RN, MSN, CPHQ, Chief Quality Officer at Orlando Health Arnold Palmer Hospital for Children, will present how her organization has prioritized improving diagnosis across several quality of care interventions.

The session will include an open and frank conversation about how participants can leverage learnings from the webinar series to kickstart improvement at their institutions.

Thank you for joining us today.

Questions? Contact the Help Desk at
https://leapfroghelpdesk.Zendesk.com